Power supply to Friend Colony

711. SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: SHRI K. GOPALAN;

Will the Minister of ENERGY be, pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1453 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 2nd Decern, ber, 1985 and state;

(a) what further steps have been taken to improve electricity supply to DDA-MIG flats in Block 'A', Friends Colony, New Delhi;

(b) whether 11 KV HT system has been segregated;

(c) if so, what are the details thereof;

(d) if the answer to part (b) above be in the negative, what are the reasons therefor; and

(e) what steps are proposed to be taken by DESU to ensure continuous power supply to this colony?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF POWER IN THE MINISTRY OF ENERGY (SHRIMATI SUSHILA ROHATGI): (a) to (e) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Releasing catalyst chemical for Reliance Industry

712, SHRI DHARAM CHANDER PRASHANT-

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI;

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news-item which appeared in the Indian Express of September *9,* 1986 that catalyst chemical worth Rs. 33. 67 crores has been sanctioned for the Reliance Industries to manufacture PTA whereas the catalyst requirement of Salimpur project which has double the capacity was assessed at only Rs. 32. 09 lacs; and

(b) if so, what are the details in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEML CALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI R. K. JAICHANDRA SINGH). (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The decision on the Reliance proposal is yet to be taken. The requirement of catalyst for Salimpur has not been finalised and hence no proposal has been made to Government.

Import of Technology

713. SHRI DHARAM CHANDER PRASHANT;

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI:

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state;

(a) whether Government's atten tion has been drawn to the news item which appeared in the Indian Ex press of 15th September, 1986 that large knowhow fee of Rs. 38. 00 crores wag sanctioned for import of technology to manufacture Para-xylene and PTA as against the lower fee quoted to a private firm during the same period by other similar competent firm from abroad; and

(b) whether Government have examined the allegation made there in; and if so, what action Govern ment propose to take against the concerned officials?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI R. K. JAICHANDRA SINGH); (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Approvals for import of Capital Goods and for Foreign collaboration for manufacture of Purified Terephthalic Acid (PTA) as well as for manufacture of Paraxylene for captive consumption were given to